# Dynamical Cooper pairing in non-equilibrium electron-phonon systems

Eugene DemlerHarvard University

Collaborators: Mehrtash Babadi (Caltech/Broad), Michael Knap (TU Munich), Ivar Martin (Argonne), Gil Refael (Caltech)



Harvard-MIT



\$\$ NSF DMR, Simons Foundation, MURI QUANTUM MATTER, ITS ETH

#### Ultracold atoms and photoinduced superconducitivty



Also expts by Sengstock, Arimondo, Oberthaler, Bloch, Chin, Spielman, Hemmerich, Esslinger, Ketterle, Greiner, Zwierlein Experiments by Sengstock, Esslinger, Arlt, Jin, Pfau, Oberthaler, Bloch, Killian, ...

## New tool: Floquet engineering of interactions

Introduction: experimental evidence of photo-enhanced superconductivity in electron-phonon superconductor  $K_3C_{60}$ 

Other experiments: optical control of Mott insulators, charge and spin density wave states, superconductivity in high Tc cuprates

#### Motivation: photoinduced superconducitivty in K3C60



Equilibrium: T=25K (red) and T= 10 K (blue)



M. Mitrano et al., Nature 530, 461 (2016)

#### Response of photoexcited system



#### **Motivation**

Light-induced superconductivity in  $K_3C_{60}$ 



Induced spectral weight loss vs. pump frequency (const. fluence)



Resonance with phonons makes this effect very different from Wyatt-Dayem effect

### Outline

Physical picture: enhanced electron-phonon interaction in systems with driven phonons

Floquet-Migdal-Eliashberg analysis

Simpler analysis: polaron transformation

Conclusions

How to increase electron-phonon interaction and enhance superconductivity, CDW, ...

This talk: focus on superconductivity

## Electron-phonon interactions in systems with driven phonons

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{q} \left( \frac{P_q^2}{2M} + \frac{M\omega_q^2}{2} Q_q^2 \right) + \mathcal{H}_{\text{drive}}(t)$$

Example: parametric drive of phonons

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{q} \left( \frac{P_q^2}{2M} + \frac{M\Omega_q^2(t)}{2} Q_q^2 \right) \qquad \qquad \Omega_q^2(t) = \omega_q^2 \left( 1 + 2\alpha \cos(2\Omega_{\rm drv}t) \right)$$

Effective electron-electron interaction assuming slow electron dynamics

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = U(t) \,\hat{\rho}_{\text{el}}(t) \,\hat{\rho}_{\text{el}}(t)$$
$$U(t) = \frac{|\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^t \mathrm{d}t' \,\mathcal{D}_{QQ}^R(t,t')$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{QQ}^{R}(t,t') = -i\theta(t-t')\langle \hat{Q}(t)\,\hat{Q}(t') - \hat{Q}(t')\,\hat{Q}(t)\rangle$$

## Electron-phonon interactions in systems with driven phonons

Compare to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}' &= \mathcal{H} - \phi \, \hat{Q} \\ \langle \hat{Q} \rangle &= \chi \, \phi \quad \text{Fluctuation-dissipation theorem} \quad \chi = \langle \hat{Q} \, \hat{Q} \rangle \\ \Delta E &= -\frac{\chi \phi^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

From electron-phonon coupling to effective electron-electron interaction

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{el-phon}} = \sum_{k q \sigma} g_q Q_q c^{\dagger}_{k-q\sigma} c_{k\sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = U(t) \,\hat{\rho}_{\text{el}}(t) \,\hat{\rho}_{\text{el}}(t)$$
$$U(t) = \frac{|\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{q}}|^2}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \, \mathcal{D}_{QQ}^R(t,t')$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{QQ}^{R}(t,t') = -i\theta(t-t')\langle \hat{Q}(t)\,\hat{Q}(t') - \hat{Q}(t')\,\hat{Q}(t)\rangle$$

#### Phonon response function

 $\mathcal{H}$ 

Parametric drive

Harmonic oscillator equations of motion

From linearity of equations

**Response function** 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \sum_{q} \left( \frac{P_q^2}{2M} + \frac{M\Omega_q^2(t)}{2} Q_q^2 \right) \\ &\quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{Q}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\hat{P}(t)}{M} \\ &\quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{P}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -M\omega_q^2 \big[ 1 + 2\alpha\cos(2\Omega_{\mathrm{drv}}t) \big] \hat{Q}(t) \\ \\ &\text{ns} \qquad \hat{Q}(t) = \mathfrak{M}_{QQ}(t,t') \, \hat{Q}(t') - \mathfrak{M}_{QP}(t,t') \, \frac{\hat{P}(t')}{M\Omega_{\mathrm{drv}}} \\ &\quad \mathcal{D}_{QQ}^R(t,t') = -i\,\theta(t-t') \langle [\hat{Q}(t'),\hat{P}(t')] \rangle \, \times \frac{-\mathfrak{M}_{QP}(t,t')}{M\Omega_{\mathrm{drv}}} \\ &\quad = -\frac{\hbar}{M\Omega_{\mathrm{drv}}} \, \theta(t-t') \, \mathfrak{M}_{QP}(t,t') \end{aligned}$$

Response function does not depend on the initial state of phonons. It is determined by the Hamiltonian only. It is enhanced near parametric resonance.

## Electron-phonon interactions in systems with driven phonons

Parametrically driven phonons  $\Omega_q^2(t) = \omega_q^2 \left(1 + 2\alpha \cos(2\Omega_{\rm drv}t)\right)$ 

$$\frac{U(t)}{U_{\rm eq}} = 1 - \frac{2\alpha\,\omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2\,\cos(2\Omega_{\rm drv}t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2 - 4\Omega_{\rm drv}^2} + \frac{2\alpha^2\,\omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2\left[\omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2 - 16\Omega_{\rm drv}^2 + \omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2\cos(4\Omega_{\rm drv}t)\right]}{(\omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2 - 16\Omega_{\rm drv}^2)(\omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2 - 4\Omega_{\rm drv}^2)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)$$

Effective interaction: time average and variance.  $\alpha$  = 0.2



Strong enhancement near resonance. Large response function near "instability"

Exponential dependence of Tc: gain on increase in U is larger than suppression due to decrease Simple argument II

How to increase electron-phonon interaction and enhance superconductivity, CDW, ...

Can one gain from non-equilibrium state of phonons?



interaction via phonon emission

 $-\frac{g^2\left(1+n\right)}{\epsilon_p+\omega_{k-p}-\epsilon_k} \approx -\frac{g^2\left(1+n\right)}{\omega_{\rm ph}}$ 



interaction via phonon absorption

$$-\frac{g^2 n}{\epsilon_p - \omega_{p-k} - \epsilon_k} \approx +\frac{g^2 n}{\omega_{\rm ph}}$$

#### **Effective interaction**

$$V_{
m eff} = -rac{g^2}{\omega_{
m ph}}$$

This is the usual argument that real photons do not help to increase effective pairing strength

#### Driven phonon system

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{P^2}{2} + \frac{Q^2}{2} + A\cos 2\omega_{\rm dr}t \times Q^2$$

Exact solution is available.

Consider a simplified version that has essential physics

$$\mathcal{H} = \omega_{\rm ph} b^{\dagger} b + (A e^{-2i\omega_{\rm dr} t} b^{\dagger} b^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.})$$

Transform to the rotating frame

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = (\omega_{\rm ph} - \omega_{\rm dr}) b^{\dagger} b + (A b^{\dagger} b^{\dagger} + {\rm c.c.})$$

This looks like a Bogoliubov Hamiltonian

#### Electron-phonon interaction in a driven phonon system

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm phon\,dr} = \tilde{\omega}_{\rm ph} \, b^{\dagger} \, b \, + \, (A \, b^{\dagger} \, b^{\dagger} \, + \, {\rm c.c.})$$

Bogoliubov transformation diagonalizes the Hamiltonian of driven phonons

$$e^{S} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{phon\,dr}} e^{-S} = \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{ph}} b^{\dagger} b$$

$$e^S \, b \, e^{-S} \, = \, \cosh \, \xi \, b \, + \, \sinh \, \xi \, b^\dagger$$

Bogoliubov transformation amplifies electron-phonon interaction.

$$\mathcal{H}_{
m el-phon} \,=\, g\,\sum\, c^{\dagger}_{k+q}\, c_k\,(\,b_q\,+\,b^{\dagger}_{-q}\,)$$

Analogous effect has been pointed out in opto-mechanics: Lemonde et al., arXiv:1509.09238 (2015)

## Photo-induced superconductivity Floquet-Keldysh-Migdal-Eliashberg approach

M. Babadi, M. Knap, G. Refael, I. Martin, E. Demler



#### Driven electron-phonon system



This talk: focus on dynamical effects

#### Microscopic model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[\varphi, \Psi](t) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \left( i \partial_{t} \mathbb{I} - \xi_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\sigma}_{3} \right) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{2\omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \varphi_{\mathbf{q}} \left( \partial_{t}^{2} + \omega_{\mathbf{q}}^{2} \right) \varphi_{-\mathbf{q}} \\ &- \sum_{j \in \text{lattice}} \mathcal{V}^{\text{ph}}(\varphi_{j}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} g_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \varphi_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\dagger} \hat{\sigma}_{3} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\Lambda}{2} |F(t)|^{2} \sum_{j \in \text{lattice}} \varphi_{j} \end{aligned}$$

Phonons are driven only at q=0: e.g.  $\Lambda \varphi_{\mathrm{IR},q=0}^2(t) \varphi_{q=0}$ .

This analysis can be applied to the IR modes themselves, if they couple to electrons We assume phonon-nonlinearities given by  $\kappa_3$  and  $\kappa_4$ .

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{ph}}(\varphi) = -\frac{\kappa_3}{3!} \, \varphi^3 - \frac{\kappa_4}{4!} \, \varphi^4$$

We assume finite small dissipation  $\gamma_0$  for phonons at q=0 due to other modes. Additional dissipation is generated by electrons

#### Phonon dynamics

Equations of motion for phonons: coherent part and fluctuations

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \left(\partial_t^2 + \omega_0^2 + \gamma_0 \partial_t\right) \varphi(t) &- \frac{\kappa_4}{6} \varphi^3(t) - \frac{\kappa_3}{2} \varphi^2(t) - \frac{\kappa_4}{2} \chi(t) \varphi(t) = \frac{\Lambda}{2} |F(t)|^2 + \frac{\kappa_3}{2} \chi(t) \\ \chi(t) &\equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} i \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{q}}(t, t) \quad \text{Force from} \\ \text{finite q phonons} \\ - \frac{1}{2\omega_{\mathbf{q}}} \left[\partial_{t_1}^2 + \omega_{\mathbf{q}}^2\right] \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{q}}(t_1, t_2) &= \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t_1, t_2) + V(t_1) \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{q}}(t_1, t_2) + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d}\tau \, \Pi_{\mathbf{q}}(t_1, \tau) \, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{q}}(\tau, t_2) \\ V(t) &\equiv -\frac{\kappa_4}{2} \, \chi(t) - \frac{\kappa_4}{2} \, \varphi^2(t) - \kappa_3 \, \varphi(t) \\ & \text{Drive from} \\ q = 0 \, \text{phonon} \end{split} \qquad \Pi_{\mathbf{q}}(t_1, t_2) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |g_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}}|^2 \, \text{tr} \left[\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}}(t_1, t_2) \, \hat{\sigma}_3 \, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{k}}(t_2, t_1) \, \hat{\sigma}_3\right] \\ & \text{Force from electrons} \\ & \text{including damping} \end{split}$$

and frequency renormalization

q=0 phonon

#### **Floquet-Wigner representation**



#### **Evolution of phonons**

Coherent amplitude at q=0

Ramped-up external drive from with Amax=0.75



Propagators for finite q

Note the red shift in the spectrum of phonons: contributes to SC enhancement

### The real time Migdal-Eliashberg theory

We want to compare enhancement of electron-phonon interaction with shortening of electron lifetime



### The real time Migdal-Eliashberg theory

#### No superconductivity yet

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{k}}(t,t') = \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \hat{\sigma}_3 \,\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{k}'}(t,t') \,\hat{\sigma}_3 \,|g_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}|^2 \,D_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}(t,t')$$



Renormalization of electron energy, quasiparticle weight, lifetime

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{spectral/Keldysh decomposition} \\ i\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{>}(\omega,T) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} i\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{K}(\omega,T) + \hat{\mathsf{A}}(\omega,T) \end{bmatrix} \\ i\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{<}(\omega,T) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} i\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{K}(\omega,T) - \hat{\mathsf{A}}(\omega,T) \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{ll} \text{FSA} & \hat{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega,T) \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}(\omega,T) \equiv \frac{1}{N\nu(0)} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega,T) \, \delta(\xi_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ \text{deep Migdal} & E_{F}/\omega_{0} \rightarrow \infty \\ \text{constant EDOS} & \nu(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \nu(0) \end{array}$ 

$$\hat{\Sigma}^{R}(\omega,T) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega'}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\omega - \omega' - \nu + i0^{+}} \left\{ iF^{K}(\nu,T)\,\check{\mathsf{A}}(\omega',T) + F^{\rho}(\nu,T)\,i\check{\mathcal{G}}^{K}(\omega',T) \right\},$$
$$i\hat{\Sigma}^{K}(\omega,T) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega'}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\nu\,(2\pi)\delta(\omega - \omega' - \nu) \left\{ iF^{K}(\nu,T)\,i\check{\mathcal{G}}^{K}(\omega',T) + F^{\rho}(\nu,T)\,\check{\mathsf{A}}(\omega',T) \right\}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{spectral Eliashberg} & F_{\xi,\xi'}^{\rho}(\nu,T) \equiv \frac{\nu(0)}{\nu(\xi)\,\nu(\xi')} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} |g_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}|^2 \, \frac{1}{2\pi} \, \rho_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}(\nu,T) \, \delta(\xi_{\mathbf{k}}-\xi) \, \delta(\xi_{\mathbf{k}'}-\xi'), \\ \text{Keldysh Eliashberg} & i F_{\xi,\xi'}^{K}(\nu,T) \equiv \frac{\nu(0)}{\nu(\xi)\,\nu(\xi')} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} |g_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}|^2 \, \frac{1}{2\pi} \, i D_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}^{K}(\nu,T) \, \delta(\xi_{\mathbf{k}}-\xi) \, \delta(\xi_{\mathbf{k}'}-\xi'). \end{array}$ 

#### The real time Migdal-Eliashberg theory

#### Predictions for ARPES



#### Onset of pairing in non-equilibrium Floquet system

retarded interaction

Introduce off-diagonal component of self-energy. Require self-consistency.

- 1. Calculate the Q-matrix—  $(2\omega - m\Omega) \,\delta \mathcal{F}_{n,m}^{R} = -2\pi i \,\phi_{n,m} + \sum_{n'=-N_{D}}^{N_{D}} \left( \Sigma_{n',m-n+n'}^{R} \,\delta \mathcal{F}_{n-n',m+n'}^{R} + \Sigma_{n',m+n-n'}^{R} \,\delta \mathcal{F}_{n-n',m-n'}^{R} \right)$   $\delta \mathcal{F}_{n,m}^{R}(\omega) = \sum_{n',m'} \mathbf{Q}_{n',m'}^{n,m}(\omega) \,\phi_{n',m'}(\omega)$
- 2. Solve the functional eigenvalue equation-

$$\Delta_{n}(\omega) = \frac{i\omega}{2\pi} \sum_{n'=-N_{\phi}}^{N_{\phi}} \sum_{n''=-N_{D}}^{N_{D}} \sum_{m'} \left\{ \mathsf{Q}_{n',m'}^{n,0}(\omega) \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega'}{\omega'} K_{n''}(\omega - m'\Omega/2, \omega') \Delta_{n'-n''}(\omega') \right\}$$
  
dynamical self-energy effects (scattering, qp renormalization) 
$$- \left[ \mathsf{Q}_{n',m'}^{-n,0}(\omega) \right]^{*} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega'}{\omega'} K_{n''}^{*}(\omega - m'\Omega/2, \omega') \Delta_{n'-n''}(\omega') \right]$$

Compare to simple BCS  

$$\Delta = -V\nu(0)\int d\xi \frac{\Delta}{|\xi|}$$

$$V = -\frac{g^2}{\omega_{\rm ph}}$$





#### Pairing instability in a driven electron-phonon system



Photo-induced superconductivity approach based on polaron transformation

M. Babadi, M. Knap, G. Refael, I. Martin, E. Demler

Phys. Rev. B 94, 214504 (2016)

Electron-phonon system in equilibrium: Lang-Firsov transformation

$$\hat{H}_{\text{el-ph}} = -J_0 \sum_{ij\sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \sum_k \omega_k b_k^{\dagger} b_k + \sum_{ik\sigma} \frac{\gamma_k e^{ikr_i}}{\sqrt{V}} (b_k + b_{-k}^{\dagger}) n_{i\sigma}$$

Apply unitary transformation  $S = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{qj\sigma} \frac{\gamma_q}{\omega_q} e^{iqr_j} (b_q - b_q^{\dagger}) n_{j\sigma}$ 

$$e^{S} \mathcal{H}_{\rm el-ph} e^{-S} = -\sum_{ij\sigma} J_{ij} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + \sum_{ij\sigma\sigma'} U_{ij} n_{i\sigma} n_{j\sigma'} + \hat{H}_{\rm ph}$$

$$J_{ij} = J_0 e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_k \frac{\gamma_k}{\omega_k} (e^{ikr_i} - e^{ikr_j})(b_k - b_{-k}^{\dagger})}$$
polaron dressing of electron tunneling

$U_{ij} = -\frac{1}{V} \sum_{k} e^{-ik(r_j - r_i)} \frac{\gamma_k^2}{\omega_k}$	phonon mediated
	attraction between
	electrons

Typical approach without drive: average over phonon equilbrium

Electron-phonon system out of equilibrium: LF transformation

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= -\sum_{ij\sigma} J_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \sum_{ij\sigma\sigma'} U_{ij} n_{i\sigma} n_{j\sigma'} + \hat{H}_{\rm ph} \\ J_{ij} &= J_0 e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_k \frac{\gamma_k}{\omega_k} (e^{ikr_i} - e^{ikr_j}) (b_k - b_{-k}^{\dagger})} \quad \text{polaron dressing} \\ U_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{V} \sum_k e^{-ik(r_j - r_i)} \frac{\gamma_k^2}{\omega_k} \quad \text{phonon mediated} \\ \text{attraction between} \\ \text{electrons} \end{split}$$

$$\hat{H}_{\rm ph} = \hat{H}_{\rm ph,0} + \hat{H}_{\rm drv}$$
$$\hat{H}_{\rm drv} = A_k \omega_k^2 \cos \Omega t \ Q_k^{\rm R} Q_{-k}^{\rm R}$$

Simplest approach: average  $J_{ij}$  over a driven state of phonons

$$\langle J_{ij}(t) \rangle = J_{ij}^0 + J_{ij}^1 \cos 2\Omega t + \dots$$

#### Floquet BCS type Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = -J_{\rm eq} e^{-\zeta} (1 - A\cos 2\Omega t) \sum c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \sum U_{ij} n_i n_j$$

Move time dependence into interaction using the fact that modulation of the Hamiltonian as a whole has no effect

$$\tilde{H}(t) = J_{\rm eq} e^{-\zeta} \sum_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} - U(1 + \mathcal{A}\cos 2\Omega t) \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$

Solve Copper-pair instability problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle = 2i(\epsilon_k - \mu) \langle c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle - i \frac{U(1 + \mathcal{A}\cos 2\Omega t)}{V} \sum_q \langle c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle (n_{q\uparrow} + n_{q\downarrow}) - iU(1 + \mathcal{A}\cos 2\Omega t)(1 - 2n_k) \frac{1}{V} \sum_q \langle c_{q\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-q\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle$$

Appearance of complex eigenvalues signals instability

Need to include pair-breaking scattering of electrons due to non-equilibrium phonon state

#### Floquet Fermi's golden rule

Photoexcited phonons increase scattering of electrons which gives rise to pair-breaking

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\rm ph}} = \frac{\pi}{2V} \sum_{qn} |\mathcal{F}_{qk_F}|^2 |\bar{\alpha}_{qn}|^2 \{ (1 - n_{k_F - q}) \delta(2n\Omega - E_{k_F - q} - \omega) \}$$



#### Analysis of instabilities in Floquet BCS type Hamiltonian

Solve Copper instability problem with pair-breaking processes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle = 2i(\epsilon_k + i/\tau) - \mu) \langle c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle - i \frac{U(1 + \mathcal{A}\cos 2\Omega t)}{V} \sum_q \langle c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle (n_{q\uparrow} + n_{q\downarrow}) - iU(1 + \mathcal{A}\cos 2\Omega t)(1 - 2n_k) \frac{1}{V} \sum_q \langle c_{q\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-q\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle$$

Appearance of complex eigenvalues signals instability

## Pairing instability in a driven electron-phonon system $\hat{H}_{\text{el-ph}} = -J_0 \sum_{ij\sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \sum_k \omega_k b_k^{\dagger} b_k + \sum_{ik\sigma} \frac{\gamma_k e^{ikr_i}}{\sqrt{V}} (b_k + b_{-k}^{\dagger}) n_{i\sigma}$



$$\hat{H}_{\rm drv} ~=~ A_k \omega_k^2 \cos \Omega t ~ Q_k^{\rm R} Q_{-k}^{\rm R}$$

#### Conclusions

External drive leads to enhancement of electronphonon interaction. It can be understood as parametric amplification or result of a squeezed state of phonons. This leads to an increase in the effective BCS coupling constant

This also results in additional scattering of electrons that leads to pair-breaking

We find that increase in BCS coupling can dominate and find possible increase of instability temperature by 150%. Floquet aspects are crucial.